

Questions and Answers in General Topology



Vol. 6, No. 1 1988

Editorial Board

Honorary Editor: K. Morita

Managing Editors: M. Atsuji, J. Nagata

Editors: T. Ishii, T. Isiwata, Y. Katuta, R. Nakagawa

A. Okuyama, S. Sakai, T. Tanaka

Associate Editors: T. Hoshina, H. Ohta, Y. Tanaka,

J. Terasawa, Y. Yasui

Secretarial Staff: Y. Hattori, A. Koyama, K. Yamada

Publisher: Symposium of General Topology

A STUDY OF PSEUDOBASES

Shou Lin

Department of Mathematics, Ningde Teachers'
College, Ningde, Fujian, CHINA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we discuss the relationship between pseudobases and k -networks. It is shown that a regular space with a point countable pseudobase is an \aleph_0 -space, and that a regular space with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving (closed) pseudobase if and only if it either is an \aleph_0 -space or is a σ -closed discrete space which all compact subsets are finite.

All spaces are T_1

Let X be a topological space. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of X is a pseudobase for X [1] if whenever K is a compact subset of an open set U of X , then $K \subset P \subset U$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of X is a k -network for X if whenever K is a compact subset of an open set U of X , then $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}' \subset U$ for some finite subcollection \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P} . In this paper, we discuss the

relationship between pseudobases and k -networks. Obviously, a regular space with a countable (σ -closure-preserving, σ -cushioned pair) pseudobase is equivalent to the space with a countable (σ -closure-preserving, σ -cushioned pair) k -network. The following question raised: Is a regular space with a point countable (σ -hereditarily closure-preserving) pseudobase equivalent to the space with a point countable (σ -hereditarily closure-preserving) k -network?

We answer this question negatively by proving that a regular space with a point countable pseudobase has a countable pseudobase, and that a regular space with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving pseudobase has a σ -locally finite k -network.

Theorem 1. A Hausdorff space with a point countable pseudobase has a countable pseudobase.

Proof. Suppose X is a Hausdorff space with a point countable pseudobase. Let \mathcal{P} be a point countable pseudobase for X . For each $x \in X$, take $y \in X - \{x\}$. Put

$$\mathcal{G} = \{X - \text{cl}(P) : y \in P \subset \text{cl}(P) \subset X - \{x\}, P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Then it is a countable family of open subsets of X , and $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}$. If $z \in X - \{x\}$, there exists an open set V of X such that $\{z, y\} \subset V \subset \text{cl}(V) \subset X - \{x\}$ because X is Hausdorff. Then $\{z, y\} \subset P \subset V$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. So $\text{cl}(P) \subset X - \{x\}$ and $z, y \in P$. Thus $z \notin \bigcap \mathcal{G}$, and $\{x\} = \bigcap \mathcal{G}$. Therefore each point of X is a G_δ in X . We assert that X is separable. In fact, take $x \in X$. There exists a countable family $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open sets of X such that $\{x\} = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\} = \{P_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $P_m - V_n = \emptyset$, let $a_{n,m} = x$; if $P_m - V_n \neq \emptyset$, take $a_{n,m} \in P_m - V_n$. Put $A = \{a_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$.

Then $\text{cl}(A) = X$. For each open subset G of X , we can assume that $G - \{x\} \neq \emptyset$. Take $y \in G - \{x\}$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \in X - V_n$. Since $\{y, x\} \subset V_n \cup G$, $\{y, x\} \subset P_m \subset V_n \cup G$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So $y \in P_m - V_n \subset G$, and $a_{n,m} \in G$. Hence X is separable. Now, let

$A = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense subset of X .

Put $\mathcal{P}' = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. The \mathcal{P}' is countable.

For a non-empty compact subset K of an open set

U of X , there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_i \in U$. So $K \cup \{x_i\} \subset U$. Thus there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $K \cup \{x_i\} \subset P \subset U$; i.e. $P \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $K \subset P \subset U$. Hence \mathcal{P}' is a countable pseudobase for X . This completes the proof of the theorem.

A regular space with a countable pseudobase is an \aleph_0 -space. By Theorem 1, a regular space is an \aleph_0 -space if and only if it has a point countable pseudobase. Since there exists a regular, non-separable space with a σ -locally finite k -network (for example, a non-separable metric space), there exists a regular space with σ -locally finite k -network which has not a point countable pseudobase. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of X is a p -pseudobase for X if whenever K is a compact subset of $X - \{x\}$, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $K \subset P \subset X - \{x\}$. Is a regular space with a countable p -pseudobase an \aleph_0 -space? Let X be a regular, countable space which is not an \aleph_0 -space [1, Example 12.4]. Let $X = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $P_n = X - \{x_n\}$. Then $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a

countable p -pseudobase for X , but X is not an \aleph_0 -space.

In the second part of this paper, we discuss the spaces with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving pseudobase. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is hereditarily closure-preserving (HCP) if, whenever a subset $C(P) \subset P$ is chosen for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the resulting collection $\mathcal{C} = \{C(P); P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is closure-preserving. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of X is weakly hereditarily closure-preserving (WHCP) if, whenever a point $x(P) \in P$ is chosen for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the resulting set $\{x(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a closed discrete subspace of X . A space X is \aleph_1 -compact if every closed discrete subspace of X is countable.

Lemma 1. An \aleph_1 -compact space with a σ -WHCP k -network has a countable k -network.

Proof. Suppose X is an \aleph_1 -compact space with a σ -WHCP k -network \mathcal{P} . Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$, where

$\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ and \mathcal{P}_n is WHCP. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$A_n = \{x \in X : \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point countable at } x\}$.

Then $\{P - A_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is countable, and A_n is a

countable closed discrete subspace of X . In fact,

if $\{P - A_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is not countable, there exists

$\{P_a : a < \omega_1\}$ such that the $(P_a - A_n)$'s are distinct

and non-empty. For each $a < \omega_1$, take a point $x_a \in P_a -$

A_n . Since \mathcal{P}_n is WHCP and X is \aleph_1 -compact, $\{x_a : a < \omega_1\}$

is countable. So there exists an uncountable

subset A of ω_1 and $x \notin A_n$ such that $x_a = x$ for each

$a \in A$, a contradiction. Hence $\{P - A_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is

countable. If $Z = \{z_h \in A_n : h \in H\}$ with $|H| \leq \aleph_1$, since

\mathcal{P}_n is not point countable at point z_h , by well-

ordering principle and transfinite induction we

can obtain a subcollection $\{P_h : h \in H\}$ of \mathcal{P}_n

such that $z_h \in P_h$ and the P_h 's are distinct. Since

\mathcal{P}_n is WHCP, Z is a closed discrete subspace of

X . By the \aleph_1 -compactness of X , A_n is a countable

closed discrete subspace of X . Therefore

$\mathcal{P}_n' = \{P - A_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in A_n\}$ is a coun-

table collection. If K is a compact subset of an

open set U of X , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}_n^* of \mathcal{P}_n such that $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{P}_n^* \subset U$. Since every closed discrete subspace of a compact space is finite, $K \cap A_n$ is finite. So

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{**} = \{P - A_n : P \in \mathcal{P}_n^*\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in K \cap A_n\}$$

is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{P}_n' , and $K \subset \mathcal{P}_n^{**} \subset U$.

Hence $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n'$ is a countable k -network for X .

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 2. A space with a σ -WHCP pseudobase either has a countable pseudobase or is the space which all compact closed subsets are finite.

Proof. Suppose a space X has a σ -WHCH pseudobase. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ be a σ -WHCP pseudobase for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is WHCP. If X has not a countable pseudobase, and X has an infinite compact closed subset K , then X is not an \aleph_1 -compact space by Lemma 1, and there exists a non-closed countable subset $C = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K$. Since X is not \aleph_1 -compact, there exists a closed discrete subspace $A \subset X$ such that $|A| = \aleph_1$. Then

$|A-K| = \aleph_1$, because $K \cap A$ is finite. Let
 $A-K = \{x_a : a < \omega_1\}$. For each $a < \omega_1$, let $V_a = X - \{x_b : a \neq b < \omega_1\}$, then V_a is an open set of X and
 $K \cup \{x_a\} \subset V_a$. So there exists $n(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P_a \in \mathcal{P}_{n(a)}$
such that $K \cup \{x_a\} \subset P_a \subset V_a$. Thus there exists an
uncountable subset Λ of ω_1 and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
 $n(a) = m$ when $a \in \Lambda$. Since $x_a \in P_a \subset X - \{x_b\}$ when
 $a \neq b$, the P_a 's are distinct. So $\{P_a : a \in \Lambda\}$ is
WHCP. Now, by $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \bigcap \{P_a : a \in \Lambda\}$, there
exists mutually distinct $a_n \in \Lambda$ such that $x_n \in P_{a_n}$
for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $C = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed,
a contradiction. Therefore X either has a coun-
table pseudobase or is a space which all compact
closed subsets are finite. This completes the
proof of the Lemma.

A space X has \aleph_1 -tightness if for each $A \subset X$
with $x \in \text{cl}(A)$, there exists $H \subset A$ with $|H| \leq \aleph_1$ and
 $x \in \text{cl}(H)$.

Corollary. If a space with a σ -WHCP pseudo-
base has \aleph_1 -tightness, then it either has a

countable pseudobase or is a σ -closed discrete space which all compact closed subsets are finite.

Proof. Suppose a space X with a σ -WHCP pseudobase has \aleph_1 -tightness. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ be a σ -WHCP pseudobase for X , where each \mathcal{P}_n is WHCP. We can assume that X has not countable pseudobase. Then for each $x \in X$, \mathcal{P} is not point countable at x . In fact, suppose \mathcal{P} is point countable at x for some $x \in X$. Since X has not a countable pseudobase, X is not an \aleph_1 -compact space by Lemma 1. There exists a closed discrete subspace $\{x_a : a < \omega_1\} \subset X - \{x\}$. For each $a < \omega_1$, put $V_a = X - \{x_b : a \neq b < \omega_1\}$. Then V_a is an open subset of X and $\{x, x_a\} \subset V_a$. So $\{x, x_a\} \subset P_a \subset V_a$ for some $P_a \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence the P_a 's are distinct, and $x \in P_a$ for each $a < \omega_1$, a contradiction. Therefore \mathcal{P} is not point countable at each $x \in X$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_n = \{x \in X : \mathcal{P}_n \text{ is not point countable at } x\}.$$

Then $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. We will show that each X_n is a closed discrete subspace of X ; i.e. if $A \subset X_n$, then A is closed in X . Since X has \aleph_1 -tightness, it is sufficient to show that each subset A of X_n with $|A| \leq \aleph_1$ is closed discrete in X . We can assume that $A = \{x_a : a < \omega_1\}$. By transfinite induction, we can obtain a subcollection $\{P_a : a < \omega_1\}$ of \mathcal{P}_n such that $x_a \in P_a$ and the P_a 's are distinct. Since \mathcal{P}_n is WHCP, A is closed discrete in X . Hence each X_n is a closed discrete subspace of X . According to Lemma 2, all compact subsets of X are finite. This completes the proof of the Corollary.

A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is a (mod k)-network for X if there exists a covering \mathcal{K} of X by compact sets such that, whenever $K \subset U$ with $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and U open in X , then $K \subset P \subset U$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. A space with a σ -locally finite closed (mod k)-network is called a strong Σ -space. A space (X, τ) is a β -space if there is a function $g: \mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$

such that (1) $x \in g(n, x)$; (2) if $x \in g(n, x_n)$, then the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a cluster point in X .

Obviously, σ -spaces are strong Σ -spaces, and strong Σ -spaces are β -spaces.

Lemma 3. Suppose X is a space which all compact subsets are finite. Then X is a σ -closed discrete space if it satisfies any one of the following:

(a) X is a strong Σ -space.

(b) X is a regular β -space which each point is a G_δ in X .

Proof. (a) Suppose a space X is a strong Σ -space which all compact subsets are finite. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ be a σ -locally finite closed (mod k)-network for X with respect to a covering \mathcal{K} of X by non-empty compact sets, where each \mathcal{P}_n is a locally finite family of closed subsets of X . We can assume that \mathcal{P} is closed under finite intersections. For a $K \in \mathcal{K}$, let $\mathcal{P}(K) = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : K \subset P\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}(K)$ is countable. So $\mathcal{P}(K) = \{P_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and put $K_n = \bigcap_{i \leq n} P_i$. Then $K \subset K_n \in \mathcal{P}(K)$. We assert

that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K_n is finite. In fact, if each K_n is infinite, then there exists a subset $A = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X - K$ such that $x_1 \in K_1 - K$ and $x_{n+1} \in K_{n+1} - K \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ because K is finite. If $\text{cl}(A) \cap K = \emptyset$, there exists $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ such that $K \subset P_i \subset X - \text{cl}(A)$. So $x_i \in K_i \subset P_i \subset X - \{x_i\}$, a contradiction. Thus $(\text{cl}(A) - A) \cap K = \text{cl}(A) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Take $x \in (\text{cl}(A) - A) \cap K$. Since K is finite, there exist open sets V, U of X such that $x \in V, K - \{x\} \subset U$ and $V \cap U = \emptyset$. There exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset V$ because x is a cluster point of $\{x_n\}$. For an open neighbourhood G of x in X , since $K \subset (V \cap G) \cup U$, there exists $P_m \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ such that $K \subset K_m \subset P_m \subset (V \cap G) \cup U$. So $x_{n_i} \in K_{n_i} \cap V \subset K_m \cap V \subset G$ when $i \geq m$. This proves that the sequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges to x . Hence $\{x\} \cup \{x_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite compact subset of X , a contradiction. Therefore there exists

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K_n is finite.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_n &= \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n : P \text{ is finite}\} \\ &= \{\{x_{a,1}, x_{a,2}, \dots, x_{a,n(a)}\} : a \in A_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a σ -locally finite (modk)-

network for X . So $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n)$. For each

$n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, put $M_{n,m} = \{x_{a,m} : a \in A_n\}$. Then $M_{n,m}$ is

a closed discrete subspace of X , and $X = \bigcup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} M_{n,m}$.

Hence X is a σ -closed discrete space.

(b) Suppose a regular space (X, τ) is a β -space which all compact subsets are finite, and which each point is a G_δ in X . Then there exists a function $g: \mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$(1) \quad x \in g(n+1, x) \subset \text{cl}(g(n+1, x)) \subset g(n, x);$$

$$(2) \quad \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(n, x) = \{x\};$$

$$(3) \quad \text{if } x \in g(n, x_n), \text{ the sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ has a}$$

cluster point in X .

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $X_n = \{x \in X : x \in \bigcap_{z \neq x} (X - g(n, z))\}$

For each $y \in X$, if $z \in g(n, y) \cap X_n$, then $z = y$.

Thus

$$g(n, y) \cap X_n = \begin{cases} \{y\}, & \text{if } y \in X_n \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } y \notin X_n. \end{cases}$$

So X_n is a closed discrete subspace of X . We will

show that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. If $X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \neq \emptyset$, take

$x \in X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \neq x$,

such that $x \in g(n, x_n)$ because $x \notin X_n$. If $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is finite, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of

$\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} = x_{n_1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$x \in g(n_i, x_{n_i}) \subset g(i, x_{n_i}) = g(i, x_{n_1}), \quad x \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} g(i, x_{n_1}),$$

i.e. $x = x_{n_1}$, a contradiction. Thus $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is infinite. We can assume that the x_n 's are

distinct. Let q be a cluster point of $\{x_n\}$ in X .

Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such

that $x_{n_i} \in g(i, q)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$F_n = \text{cl}\{x_{n_i} : i \geq n\}$, then $F_{n+1} \subset g(n, q)$. Since

$x \in g(i, x_{n_i})$, the sequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ has a cluster point in X . So $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \subset \{q\}$; i.e. q is a unique cluster point of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ in X . And since every subsequence of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ has a cluster point in X , the sequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges to q . Hence $\{x_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{q\}$ is an infinite compact subset of X , a contradiction. Therefore $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, and X is a σ -closed discrete space. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Theorem 2. The following are equivalent for a regular space X :

- (a) X is a space with a σ -HCP closed pseudobase.
- (b) X is a space with a σ -HCP pseudobase.
- (c) X is an \mathcal{N}_0 -space, or a σ -closed discrete space which all compact subspaces are finite.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) is obvious. If X is a regular space with a σ -HCP pseudobase, then X has a σ -closure-preserving net. So X is a σ -space. By

Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, (c) holds. If X is an \mathcal{N}_σ -space, then X has a σ -HCP closed pseudobase. If X is a σ -closed discrete space which all compact subsets are finite, let $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, where each X_n is a closed discrete subspace of X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P \subset \bigcup_{i \leq n} X_i : |P| \leq n\}$. Then \mathcal{P}_n is a HCP family of closed subsets of X . Since all compact subsets of X are finite, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ is a σ -HCP closed pseudobase for X . (a) holds.

A regular space with a σ -locally finite k -network is called an \mathcal{N}_σ -space.

Corollary. A regular space with a σ -HCP pseudobase is an \mathcal{N}_σ -space.

Let X be a non-separable metric space. Then $Z = [0, 1] \otimes X$ is an \mathcal{N}_σ -space, but it has not a σ -HCP pseudobase by Lemma 2.

Example. There exists a regular space X with a closure-preserving $(\text{mod } k)$ -network such that all compact subsets of X are finite. But

X has not a σ -WHCP k -network.

Proof. Let $X = \{p\} \cup \{x_a : a < \omega_1\}$, where $p \notin \{x_a : a < \omega_1\}$. We define the topology for X as follows: a subset V of X is open if and only if $X - V$ either is countable or includes p . Then we can easily see that X is a regular, Hausdorff space. Put $\mathcal{P} = \{\{p, x_a\} : a < \omega_1\}$. Then \mathcal{P} is a closure-preserving closed cover of X because any subset of X missing p is open. Thus \mathcal{P} is a closure-preserving (mod k)-network for X with respect to the covering \mathcal{P} of X by compact sets. If K is an infinite subset of X , put $F = K - \{p\}$. Then $\{X - F\} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in F\}$ is an open cover of K which has not any finite subcover of K . So all compact subsets of X are finite. Since X is Lindelöf, X has not a σ -WHCP k -network by Lemma 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Michael, \mathcal{N}_0 -spaces, J. Math. Mech., 15(1966), 983-1002.

Received January 8, 1988