Filomat 27:6 (2013), 949–954 DOI 10.2298/FIL1306949L Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

## Quasi-metrizability of bispaces by weak bases

Kedian Li<sup>a</sup>, Shou Lin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhangzhou Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, P. R. China <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ningde Normal University, Ningde 352100, P. R. China

**Abstract.** In this paper, some characterizations for the quasi-metrizability of bispaces are given by means of pairwise weak base *g*-functions, which generalizes some metrization theorems for topological spaces.

## 1. Introduction

Kelly [7] began first systematic discussions of bitopological spaces, and then obtained necessary and sufficient conditions that characterize the quasi-pseudo-metrizability of bispaces, see [15, 17–21]. Recently Marín [12] studied the quasi-pseudo-metrization theorem in the style of Frink's metrization theorem by weak bases, and generalization of the Fox-Künzi theorem [16] and the bitopological extension of the "double sequence" theorem of Nagata [17]. The notion of weak bases was introduced by Arhangel'skiï [1] to study symmetrizable spaces. Nagata [14] introduced g-functions and studied systematically the metrizability of spaces by means of g-functions. Gao [4] introduced weak base g-functions by means of weak bases to study metrizability of topological spaces. The authors of [9] presented some criteria for the quasi-pseudo-metrizability of bitopological spaces in terms of pairwise weak developments and pairwise weak base g-functions. Pairwise weak base g-functions are a powerful tool for studying the quasi-pseudo-metrizability of bitopological spaces. In this paper, we shall continue this approach. Some quasi-metrization theorems of bispaces will be given by means of pairwise weak base g-functions.

First, let us list some concepts and notations used in this paper.  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers. A bispace (a bitopological space in [7]) is a triple  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  where X is a nonempty set, and  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are two topologies on X, i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ . For  $A \subset X$ ,  $cl_{\tau_i}A$  denotes the closure of a set A in a topological space  $(X, \tau_i)$ , and "a sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x" denotes "a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to x in a topological space ( $X, \tau_i$ )". All spaces  $(X, \tau_i)$  in this paper are assumed to be  $T_0$ . Undefined terms are given in [3].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of X is a *weak base* [1] for the topology  $\tau$  if for each  $x \in X$  there is a subfamily  $\mathcal{B}_x$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

(a)  $x \in B$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x$ ;

(b) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_x$ , there is a  $C \in \mathcal{B}_x$  such that  $C \subset A \cap B$ ;

(c) a subset  $U \subset X$  is open if and only if for each  $x \in U$  there exists a  $B \in \mathcal{B}_x$  such that  $B \subset U$ .

Keywords. Quasi-metrization, bispaces, weak bases, pairwise weak base g-functions

Received: 03 June 2012; Revised: 22 January 2013; Accepted: 23 January 2013 Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Corresponding author: Shou Lin

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54E35; Secondary 54E20, 54E55, 54E99

The project is supported by the NNSF (No. 10971186, 11171162, 11201414) of China; Fujian Province Support College Research Plan Project (No. JK2011031)

Email addresses: likd56@126.com (Kedian Li), shoulin60@163.com (Shou Lin)

The family  $\mathcal{B}_x$  is called a *local weak base* at *x* in *X*.

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to have a *weak base g-function* [4], if there is a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \times X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

(a)  $x \in g(n, x);$ 

(b)  $g(n + 1, x) \subset g(n, x);$ 

(c)  $\{g(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a local weak base at x in X.

Let us recall that a function  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a *quasi-pseudo-metric* (resp. *quasi-metric*) on a set X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , it satisfies that

(a) d(x, x) = 0 (resp. d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y); (b)  $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ .

If *d* is a quasi-pseudo-metric on *X*, the function  $d^{-1}$  defined by  $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$  is called the *conjugate quasi-pseudo-metric* of *d* on *X*. Each quasi-pseudo-metric *d* on a set *X* induces a topology  $\tau(d)$  on *X*, where for all  $x \in X$  and all r > 0,

$$B_d(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$$

is an open *d*-ball and the family { $B_d(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0$ } of open *d*-balls is a base for the topology  $\tau(d)$ . A bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is *quasi-pseudo-metrizable* (resp. *quasi-metrizable*) if there exists a quasi-pseudo-metric (resp. quasi-metric) *d* on *X* such that  $\tau(d) = \tau_i$  and  $\tau(d^{-1}) = \tau_j$  (or  $\tau(d) = \tau_j$  and  $\tau(d^{-1}) = \tau_i$ ), *i*, *j* = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ . It is easy to check that a space is  $T_0$  and quasi-pseudo-metrizable if and only if it is quasi-metrizable.

A pair cover [12] in a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is a family of pairs  $(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j) = \{(G_{i,\alpha}, G_{j,\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$  such that

(i)  $\mathcal{G}_i = \{G_{i,\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  is a cover of X for i = 1, 2;

(ii) for each  $x \in X$  there is an  $\alpha \in I$  such that  $x \in G_{1,\alpha} \cap G_{2,\alpha}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$  and  $(\mathcal{G}'_i, \mathcal{G}'_j)$  be pair covers of a bispaces  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ . We say that  $(\mathcal{G}'_i, \mathcal{G}'_j)$  refines  $(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$ , i.e.,  $(\mathcal{G}'_i, \mathcal{G}'_j) < (\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$  if for each pair  $(G'_{i,\alpha}, G'_{j,\alpha}) \in (\mathcal{G}'_i, \mathcal{G}'_j)$  there is a pair  $(G_{i,\beta}, G_{j,\beta}) \in (\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$  such that  $G'_{i,\alpha} \subset G_{i,\beta}$  and  $G'_{i,\alpha} \subset G_{j,\beta}$  for i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$  be a pair cover of a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ . Let *A* be a nonempty subset of *X*. For *i*, *j* = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ , put

$$\operatorname{st}(A, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j) = \bigcup \{G_{i,\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}_i : A \cap G_{j,\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If  $x \in X$ , define

$$\operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j) = \bigcup \{G_{i,\alpha} \in \mathcal{G}_i : x \in G_{j,\alpha}\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{st}^2(x, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j) = \operatorname{st}(\operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j), \mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j)$$

**Definition 1.2.** ([9]) A *pairwise weak development* in a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is a sequence  $\{(\mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of pair covers of *X* such that for each  $x \in X$   $\{st(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a weak base of  $\tau_i$ -neighborhoods of *x* in *X*.

A bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is *pairwise weak developable* if it has a pairwise weak development  $\{(\mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $(\mathcal{G}_{i,n+1}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n+1}) < (\mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n})$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is said to have a *pairwise weak base g-function* if there are functions  $g_i, g_j : \mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  (i = 1, 2) such that for i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ 

(a)  $x \in g_i(n, x) \cap g_i(n, x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(b)  $g_i(n+1,x) \subset g_i(n,x)$  and  $g_j(n+1,x) \subset g_j(n,x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(c)  $\{g_i(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X\}$  is a weak base for the space  $(X, \tau_i)$ , and  $\{g_j(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X\}$  is a weak base for the space  $(X, \tau_i)$ .

Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function for a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define

$$g_i^1(n, x) = g_i(n, x)$$
 and  $g_i^{k+1}(n, x) = \bigcup \{g_i^k(n, y) : y \in g_i(n, x)\}.$ 

It is easy to verify that  $g_i^{k+1}(n, x) = \bigcup \{g_i(n, y) : y \in g_i^k(n, x)\}$  by inductions on  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

950

## 2. Main results

**Lemma 2.1.** ([9]) A  $T_1$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a pairwise weak development  $\{(\mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\{st^2(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X\}$  is a weak base for a space  $(X, \tau_i)$ , i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** For a  $T_1$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  the following are equivalent:

(1)  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable;

(2) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that if a sequence  $\{y_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x and  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x;

(3) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that

(3.1) If a sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

(3.2) If a sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x and  $y_n \in g_i(n, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

(4) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that if  $x \in g_j(n, z_n)$ ,  $g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$ and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable. For each r > 0, i, j = 1, 2 and  $i \neq j$ , put

$$B_i(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}, B_j(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(y,x) < r\}$$

and for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$g_i(n, x) = B_i(x, \frac{1}{2^n}), g_j(n, x) = B_j(x, \frac{1}{2^n}).$$

Then  $(g_i, g_j)$  is a pairwise weak base g-function for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  satisfying the condition (2). In fact, if a sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x and  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let U be a  $\tau_i$ -neighborhood of x in X, then there exists an  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_i(k, x) = B_i(x, \frac{1}{2^k}) \subset U$ . Since each  $B_i(x, r)$  is open in  $(X, \tau_i)$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x, then  $\{y_n : n > m\} \subset g_i(3k, x)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $n_0 = \max\{3k, 3m\}$ . We can choose  $t_n \in g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n)$  for each  $n > n_0$  by  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$d(x, x_n) \leq d(x, y_n) + d(y_n, t_n) + d(t_n, x_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{3k}} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

That is  $x_n \in U$  for each  $n > n_0$ , therefore the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function satisfying the condition (2). Suppose that a sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $x_n \in g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n)$ , thus the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x*, and (3.1) holds. By a similar proof, (3.2) holds.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function satisfying the condition (3). Suppose that  $x \in g_j(n, z_n), g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $x \in g_j(n, z_n)$ , then the sequence  $\{z_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by (3.2). Take  $t_n \in g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{t_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by (3.1), and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by (3.2). Since  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by (3.1).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function satisfying the condition (4). For i = 1, 2 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\mathcal{G}_{i,n} = \{ g_i(n, x) : x \in X \}.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{G}_{i,n+1}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n+1}) < (\mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n})$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we only need to show that  $\{st^2(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a weak base for  $(X, \tau_i), i, j = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $U \subset X$  in which for any  $x \in U$  there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\operatorname{st}^2(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) \subset U$ . Then  $g_i(n, x) \subset U$ . Since  $\{g_i(n, x) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a weak base for  $(X, \tau_i)$ , thus U is  $\tau_i$ -open. On the other hand, suppose U is  $\tau_i$ -open and  $x \in U$ . We want to verify  $\operatorname{st}^2(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) \subset U$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If not, take  $x_n \in \operatorname{st}^2(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) - U$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, we can get  $y_n \in X$  such that  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  with  $g_j(n, y_n) \cap \operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_{i,n}, \mathcal{G}_{j,n}) \neq \emptyset$ , and thus there exist  $z_n, s_n \in X$  with  $s_n \in g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n)$  and  $x \in g_j(n, z_n)$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x by (4). This is a contradiction.

Hence,  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable by Lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** ([11]) Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}(i, x) : x \in X \}$  be a weak base for a  $T_2$ -space  $(X, \tau_i)$ . For each  $x \in X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(i, x)$ , *if a sequence*  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x, then  $\{x_n : n > m\} \subset B$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** For a  $T_2$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  the following are equivalent:

(1)  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_i)$  is quasi-metrizable;

(2) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that if  $y_n \in g_i(n, x)$  and  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x;

(3) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that if  $g_i(n, x) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $g_i(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x;

(4) There is a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  such that if  $g_i(n, x) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Since  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable, there is a pairwise weak base *g*-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  satisfying the condition (2) in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that  $y_n \in g_i(n, x)$  and  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{g_i(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a local weak base at *x* for the space  $(X, \tau_i)$ , the sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by  $y_n \in g_i(n, x)$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to *x* by  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and (2) in Theorem 2.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function satisfying the condition (2). Suppose that  $g_i(n, x) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $g_j(n, x_n) \cap g_i(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  does not  $\tau_i$ -converge to x, then there are a neighborhood U of x in X and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_l}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_l} \notin U$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $z_l \in g_i(n_l, x) \cap g_j(n_l, y_{n_l})$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $z_l \in g_i(n_l, x) \subset g_i(l, x)$  and  $z_l \in g_j(n_l, y_{n_l}) \cap g_i(l, z_l) \subset g_j(l, y_{n_l}) \cap g_i(l, z_l)$ , the sequence  $\{y_{n_l}\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x by (2). By Lemma 2.3, there is a subsequence  $\{y_{n_l_k}\}$  of  $\{y_{n_l_k}\}$  such that  $y_{n_{l_k}} \in g_i(k, x)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $g_j(k, x_{n_{l_k}}) \cap g_i(k, y_{n_{l_k}}) \supset g_j(n_{l_k}, x_{n_{l_k}}) \cap g_i(n_{l_k}, y_{n_{l_k}}) \neq \emptyset$ , the subsequence  $\{x_{n_l_k_k_l_k_{l_k_k_{l_k}}\}$  or overges to x by (2). That is a contradiction with  $x_{n_{l_k_k_{l_k_{l_k}}} \notin U$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Obviously.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function satisfying the condition (4). It is enough to show the  $(g_i, g_j)$  satisfies the condition (4) in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that  $x \in g_j(n, z_n), g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in g_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $t_n \in g_i(n, z_n) \cap g_j(n, y_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the sequence  $\{t_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x* by  $g_i(n, x) \cap g_j(n, z_n) \neq \emptyset$  and (4). By Lemma 2.3, there exists a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{t_n\}$  with  $t_{n_k} \in g_i(k, x)$ for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $t_{n_k} \in g_i(k, x) \cap g_j(n_k, y_{n_k}) \subset g_i(k, x) \cap g_j(k, y_{n_k})$  and  $x_{n_k} \in g_i(n_k, y_{n_k}) \subset g_i(k, y_{n_k})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again, by (4), the sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x*. By a similar method in (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) above, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x*.  $\Box$ 

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the following conditions about a pairwise weak base *g*-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  for a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ .

 $(p\sigma')$  If  $x \in g_i^2(n, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

(*pN*') For any  $A \subset X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $cl_{\tau_i}A \subset \bigcup \{g_i(n, x) : x \in A\}$ .

(pS') If  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x and  $y_n \in g_i(n, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

**Theorem 2.5.** A  $T_2$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  satisfying  $(p\sigma')$  and (pN').

*Proof.* Necessity. Let  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  be a quasi-pseudo-metrizable bispace. Let  $g_i, g_j$  be the functions defined by the proof of  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  in Theorem 2.2.

First,  $(p\sigma')$  holds. Let  $x \in g_j^2(n, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \in g_j(n, t_n)$  and  $t_n \in g_j(n, x_n)$ .  $\{t_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x by the condition (2) of Theorem 2.2. Again by the condition (2) of Theorem 2.2, then  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x.

Secondly, (pN') holds. Assume that there are a subset  $A \subset X$  and an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $cl_{\tau_i}A \notin \bigcup \{g_j(m, y) : y \in A\}$ , then there exists a point  $x \in cl_{\tau_i}A - \bigcup \{g_j(m, y) : y \in A\}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_i)$  is first-countable, there is a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset A$  such that  $\{y_n\} \tau_i$ -converges to x. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and k > m, since  $g_i(k, x)$  is open in  $(X, \tau_i)$ , then  $\{y_n : n > n_0\} \subset g_i(k, x)$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Because  $x \notin \bigcup \{g_j(m, y) : y \in A\}$ , then  $x \notin g_j(m, y_n)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let k > m and  $n > \max\{m, n_0\}$ , then  $y_n \in g_i(k, x)$  and  $x \notin g_j(m, y_n)$ . We have  $d(x, y_n) < \frac{1}{2^k} < \frac{1}{2^m}$  and  $d(x, y_n) \ge \frac{1}{2^m}$ , this is a contradiction. Therefore, the condition (pN') holds.

Sufficiency. Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function for a bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  satisfying the conditions  $(p\sigma')$  and (pN'). For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put

$$h_i(n, x) = g_i(n, x) - cl_{\tau_i} \{ y \in X : x \notin g_i(n, y) \}.$$

By (pN'),  $x \notin cl_{\tau_i} \{ y \in X : x \notin g_i(n, y) \}$ , i.e.,

$$x \in g_i(n, x) - cl_{\tau_i} \{ y \in X : x \notin g_i(n, y) \} = h_i(n, x).$$

Hence  $(h_i, h_j)$  is a pairwise weak base *q*-function for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  with the following property:

If 
$$y \in h_i(n, x)$$
, then  $y \in g_i(n, x)$  and  $x \in g_i(n, y)$ .

Now, suppose that  $z_n \in h_i(n, x) \cap h_j(n, y_n)$  and  $x_n \in h_i(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $z_n \in g_i(n, x), x \in g_j(n, z_n), z_n \in g_j(n, y_n)$  and  $y_n \in g_i(n, z_n)$ . It is obvious that  $x \in g_j^2(n, y_n)$ . It follows from  $(p\sigma')$  that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x. There is a subsequence  $\{y_{n_m}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $y_{n_m} \in h_i(m, x)$ , then  $y_{n_m} \in g_i(m, x)$  and  $x \in g_j(m, y_{n_m})$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $x_{n_m} \in h_i(m, y_{n_m})$ , we have that  $x_{n_m} \in g_i(m, y_{n_m})$  and  $y_{n_m} \in g_j(m, x_{n_m})$ . Thus  $x \in g_j^2(m, x_{n_m})$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again, by  $(p\sigma')$ , the sequence  $\{x_{n_m}\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x, and thus the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to x. The quasi-metrizability of the bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  now follows from (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) of Theorem 2.4.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.6.** A  $T_2$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a pairwise weak base g-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  satisfying (pS') and (pN').

*Proof.* Necessity is from the (2) of Theorem 2.2 and the necessity of Theorem 2.5.

Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.5, we only need to show that  $(pS') \Rightarrow (p\sigma')$ .

Let  $(g_i, g_j)$  be a pairwise weak base *g*-function for  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  satisfying (pS'). Let  $x \in g_j^2(n, x_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is  $t_n \in g_j(n, x_n)$  such that  $x \in g_j(n, t_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from (pS') that the sequence  $\{t_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x*, and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\tau_i$ -converges to *x*. Hence,  $(pS') \Rightarrow (p\sigma')$ .

The following result was obtained in [9].

**Theorem 2.7.** ([9]) A  $T_1$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a pairwise weak base g-function  $(q_i, q_j)$  satisfying that

(1) There exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin cl_{\tau_i} \cup \{g_j(m, y) : y \in X - U\}$  for each  $x \in X$  and a  $\tau_i$ -neighborhood U of x. (2) For any  $Y \subset X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $cl_{\tau_i}Y \subset \cup \{cl_{\tau_i}g_i^2(n, y) : y \in Y\}$ .

By the similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [9], we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let k > 2. A  $T_1$ -bispace  $(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$  is quasi-metrizable if and only if it has a pairwise weak base *g*-function  $(g_i, g_j)$  satisfying that

(1) There exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin cl_{\tau_i}(\cup \{g_j(m, y) : y \in X - U\})$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $\tau_i$ -neighborhood U of x. (2) For any  $Y \subset X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $cl_{\tau_i}Y \subset \cup \{cl_{\tau_i}g_i^k(n, y) : y \in Y\}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** It is well known that a bispace is pairwise stratifiable if and only if it has a pairwise *g*-function satisfying (1) of Theorem 2.8 [8]. We may say that (2) of Theorem 2.8 give a difference between quasi-metrizable and pairwise stratifiable spaces.

Assume that  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$ , a bispace  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and the quasi-metrizability of bispaces is equivalent to the metrizability of topological spaces. Thus we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.10.** ([5, Theorem 6]) Let k > 2. A  $T_1$ -space  $(X, \tau)$  is metrizable if and only if it has a weak base *q*-function *q* for *X* satisfying that

(1) For each  $x \in X$  and a neighborhood U of x, there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x \notin \overline{\bigcup \{q(m, y) : y \in X - U\}}$$

(2) For any  $Y \subset X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{Y} \subset \cup \{g^k(n, y) : y \in Y\}.$$

**Corollary 2.11.** ([23, Theorem 2.3]) *The following are equivalent for a*  $T_2$ *-space* ( $X, \tau$ ):

(1) *X* is metrizable;

(2) There is a weak base q-function q for X such that if a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to x and  $q(n, x_n) \cap q(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x_i$ ;

(3) There is a weak base q-function g for X such that if  $y_n \in g(n, x)$  and  $g(n, x_n) \cap g(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then *the sequence*  $\{x_n\}$  *converges to x;* 

(4) There is a weak base g-function g for X such that if  $q(n, x) \cap q(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $q(n, x_n) \cap q(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x_i$ ;

(5) There is a weak base g-function g for X such that if  $g(n, x) \cap g(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x;

(6) There is a weak base g-function g for X such that if  $x \in g(n, z_n), g(n, z_n) \cap g(n, y_n) \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x.

**Corollary 2.12.** ([22, Conditions (1) and (5) in Theorem 2.2])  $A T_1$ -space X is metrizable if and only if there is *weak base g-function (i.e., a CWC-mapping) g for X satisfying that:* 

(I) For sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  if the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to x and  $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x.

(II) For sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  if the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to x and  $y_n \in g(n, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank the referees for the detailed list of corrections, suggestions to the paper, and all their efforts in order to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966) 115–162.
- [2] B. Chen, S.L. Jiang, Metrizability and weak base q-functions, New Zealand J. Math. 37 (2008) 15-20.
- [3] R. Engelking, General Topology (Revised and completed edition), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] Zh. Gao, Metrizability of spaces and weak base g-functions, Topology Appl.146-147 (2005) 279–288.
- [5] Zh. Gao, Metrizability of spaces and J. Nagata's problem, Acta Math. Scientia, 26B (2006) 25–30.
  [6] P. Gartside, Generalized metric spaces, I, in: K.P. Hart, J. Nagata and J.V. Vaughan (eds.), Encyclopedia of General Topology, Elsevier Science Publishers, 2004, 273–275.
- [7] J.C. Kelly, Bitoplogical spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1963) 71-89.
- [8] K. Li, Characterizations of pairwise stratifiable spaces, Acta Math. Scientia, 30A (2010) 649-655.
- [9] K. Li, F. Lin, Characterizations of quasi-pseudo-metrizable spaces, Topology Proc. 40 (2012) 121-129.
- [10] K. Li, S. Lin, Notes on symmetric *g*-functions, Acta Math. Hungar. 116 (2007) 73–77.
- [11] S. Lin, Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings (second edition), China Science Publishers, Beijing, 2007.
- [12] J. Marín, Weak bases and quasi-pseudo-metrization of bispaces, Topology Appl. 156 (2009) 3070–3076.
- [13] H.W. Martin, Weak bases and metrization, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 222 (1976) 338–344.
- [14] J. Nagata, Characterizations of metrizable and Lasnev spaces in terms of g-functions, Questions Answers Gen. Topology. 4 (1986) 129-139.
- [15] C.W. Patty, Bitoplogical spaces, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967) 387-392.
- [16] T.G. Raghavan, On quasi-metrizability, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1984) 1084–1089.
- [17] T.G. Raghavan, I.L. Reilly, Characterizations of quasi-metrizable bitopological spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 44 (1984) 271–274.
- [18] S. Romaguera, Two characterizations of quasi-pseudo-metrizable bitopological spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 35 (1983) 327–333.
- [19] S. Romaguera, On bitopological quasi-pseudo-metrization, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 36 (1984), 126-129.
- [20] S. Romaguera, J. Marín, On the bitopological extension of the Bing metrization theorem, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 44 (1988) 233-241.
- [21] S. Salbany, Quasi-metrization of bitopological spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 23 (1972) 299-306.
- [22] P.F. Yan, S. Lin, CWC-mappings and metrization theorems, Advances Math. (China) 36 (2007) 153-158.
- [23] E.G. Yang, W.X. Shi, Weak base g-functions and metrizability of topological spaces, Topology Appl. 158 (2011) 238–243.